

UNITED STATES, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/550,476	04/14/00 DEKOCK		В		BWD-7118.004	
_			. –	EXAMINER		
		PM82/0824	·			
BRUCE W DEKOCK				MARC COLEMAN, M		
1600 ODS TOWER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
801 S W SECOND AVENUE PORTLAND OR 97204				3661	6	
	31201			DATE MAILED:	~	
					08/24/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4	'							
t	Y •	Application N	o. 💘 💆	Applicant(s)	plicant(s)			
		09/550,476		DEKOCK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	***************************************	Art Unit				
		Marthe Y. Mar		3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory r will apply and will expi , cause the application	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	July 2001 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non	final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	-	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-38</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠								
7)🖂	Claim(s) 5 and 8 is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requir	rement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ appro	ved b) disappro	oved by the Examine	۲.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen		, , ,	00 - 20	•				
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed on 7/24/01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 11-13, 16, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albrecht et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,812,069) in view of Fan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,959,577).

In regard to claim 1, Albrecht discloses:

- A plurality of traffic monitors **20**, each said traffic monitor comprises at least a detector and a transmitter (see col. 4 lines 87-40), said detector providing a signal including data representative of vehicular movement and said transmitter transmitting said signals (see col. 4 lines 37-40 and Fig.);
- A receiver **31**, remotely located from said transmitter, that receives said signals transmitted by said traffic monitors (see Fig and col. 4 lines 40-44);
- a computer system interconnected with said receiver and said network (see
 col. 4 lines 43-45).
 - Although, Albrecht discloses a computer system, a mobile user station, a

 GPS receiver, he fails to disclose the connection among them with a display

Art Unit: 3661

using a communicating device. Furthermore, he fails to disclose that the computer system provide response to the mobile user station in response to request made by said mobile user stations.

Fan discloses:

- a mobile unit 3 connected to a global positioning system receiver 8 (see Fig. 1), a mobile unit having a display (see Fig. 12), communicating device (see Fig. 1); which corresponds to step (d) of claim 1;
- a computer system provides response to the mobile user stations in response to request made by said mobile user stations (see abstract and col. 1 line 64-col. 2 line 24).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to include Fan's travel relating information network with Albrecht's traffic flows forecasting method so that vehicle movement can be monitored and travel-related information can be transmitted to the vehicles (see Fan col. 1 lines 10-12).

In regard to claim 16, Albrecht discloses:

- a plurality of vehicles **11**, each vehicle comprising at least a mobile user station a global positioning system receiver and a transmitter (see col. 4 lines 36-37 and col. 4 lines 59-63) said mobile user station providing a signal including data representative of a location of said mobile user station and at

Art Unit: 3661

least one of a speed of said vehicle (see col. 4 lines 36-37 and col. 4 lines 59-63).

- a receiver 31, remotely located from said transmitter, that receives said
 signals transmitted by said traffic monitors (see Fig and col. 4 lines 40-44);
- a computer system interconnected with said receiver and said network (see
 col. 4 lines 43-45).

Although, Albrecht discloses a computer system, a mobile user station, a GPS receiver, he fails to disclose that the computer system provide response to the mobile user station in response to request made by said mobile user stations.

Fan discloses:

- a computer system provides response to the mobile user stations in response to request made by said mobile user stations (see abstract and col. 1 line 64-col. 2 line 24).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to include Fan's travel relating information network with Albrecht's traffic flows forecasting method so that vehicle movement can be monitored and travel-related information can be transmitted to the vehicles (see Fan col. 1 lines 10-12).

In regard to claim 6, Albrecht discloses that said traffic detector detects vehicular speed (see col. 3 lines 66-67).

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claims 7 and 8, Fan discloses that at least one of said transmitters transmits directly to said receiver; at least one of said transmitters transmits to another traffic monitor (see Fig. 1 and col. 1 line 64-col. 2 line 24).

In regard to claim 11, Fan discloses that the mobile unit provides latitude and longitude information to said computer system (see col. 3 lines 11-16 and col. 4 lines 55-65).

In regard to claim 12, Fan discloses that said computer system selects said traffic information to provide to said mobile user station based on a signal received from said global positioning system receiver (see col. col. 1 line 64-col. 2 line 24).

In regard to claims 13, 19 and 24, Fan discloses that said computer system maintains a traffic information database containing data representative of traffic at a plurality of locations and updates said traffic information database in response to signals received from said mobile user station; they also disclose that the computer screens data providing by said mobile user stations to determine whether said data corresponds to actual traffic conditions (see col. 4 lines 41-65).

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albrecht et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,812,069) in view of Fan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,959,577) as applied to claims 1 and 16 above and further in view of Lappenbusch et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,982,298).

In regard to claims 2-4, 14, 17, 20, 22, and 23, although Fan disclose a display (see Figs. 12 and 13), Albrecht and Fan fail to disclose that said traffic information transmitted by said computer system is displayed graphically on said display. They also fail to disclose that said traffic information is displayed together with a video image and a text message.

Lappenbusch discloses that said traffic information transmitted by said computer system is displayed graphically on said display; In addition, Lappenbusch discloses that said traffic information is displayed together with a video image and a text message (see Figs. 4-8; col. 1 lines 28-33; and col. 9 lines 37-50).

Art Unit: 3661

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize Lappenbusch's graphical display with the combined traffic information system of Abrecht and Fan because it would provide a vehicle monitoring system that can deployed on a world-wide basis at minimum cost since the cost of communication in the internet is inexpensive (see Lappenbusch col.11 lines 13-33).

In regard to claims 9 and 10, Lappenbusch discloses that at least one of said traffic monitors includes a video camera; at least said detector is a video camera (see Fig. 1).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize Lappenbusch 's camera with the combined travel information system of Albrecht and Fan so that continuous images and live feeds conditions can be provided (see Lappenbusch col.1 lines 15-18).

In regard to claims 15 and 21, Fan discloses that said mobile user station has an input mechanism to select a mode in which traffic information graphically on said display (see Figs. 12 and 13).

Art Unit: 3661

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In regard to claims 5 and 18, none of the above cited references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly suggest:

"said computer system has a map database, and said computer system, in response to said request for information, transmits map information representative of a portion of said map database, and said map information representative of said map database is displayed graphically together with said traffic information."

7. Claims 25-38 allowable because none of the above cited references, either singularly or in combination, teach or fairly suggest:

"at least one of said mobile user stations providing a request to said computer system for information together with a respective location of said mobile user stations, and in response thereto, said computer system providing to said one of said mobile user stations information representative of selected portions of said map database and selected portions of said traffic information database based on said respective geographic location of said one of said mobile user stations".



Art Unit: 3661

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/24/01 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection based on Albrecht et al. (U.S. Patent No.5,812,069).

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marthe Y. Marc-Coleman whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (9:30AM - 8:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 308-8623 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Patent Examiner

Marthe Marc-Coleman

August 22, 2001

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600